

From: [Tina Nappe](#)
To: [Roman, Brandon](#)
Subject: SPPC/NVEnergy Easement
Date: Thursday, July 17, 2025 5:04:05 PM

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender

You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

[Report Suspicious](#)

Park Commissioners

I find this discussion inadequate to make a decision and certainly to approve. It is insulting.

The county has acquired the land presumably to serve as open space for the public. Over the course of years the county on behalf of the public has options on how the property is to be used. That option is now constricted by this lease. There is no discussion on how the land may be used with the pipeline once installed. I was not aware that the job of the parks was to support gas distribution. Yet that seems to be the point of this presentation.

I assume this land, like all park lands, is the cheapest avenue between two points so parks will always be asked to give, and give, and give. But remember acquiring land for parks, developing them and maintaining them is work and money. And to give away a use of that land is wrong.

I think the Commission should make any request to cross park land more expensive so companies desiring to cross park lands (because it is cheap and easy) have to pay more. What does this park need in terms of management, investment, additional property? If the Commission does not negotiate for more, our parks are just sitting ducks for the next road, tower, or pipe. Set up a committee to negotiate. Don't be wimps. and rubber stamp a give away. Fight for our parks.

Unfortunately, I will not be here to speak up but I would be happy to speak with some of you now if you have time.

Thank you ,Park Commissioners ,for serving.

--

Tina Nappe